JOHN P. HALVONIK, P.A.

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS AND RELATED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CAUSES.

BAR MEMBER:

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CIECUIT COURT OF APPEALS FEDERAL CIECUIT PENNSYLVANIA BAR MARYLAND OFFICE: 15200 SHADY GROVE RD.

STE. 202

ROCKVILLE, MD. 20850 Telephone: (301) 990-9393 Facsimile: (301) 298-4548

Facsimile transmission / Attorney Client Privilege

Notice of Confidentiality: The enclosed communication is intended for the below recipient(s) only. You are hereby notified that any dissemination distribution copying or use of or reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile by or to anyone other than the recipient designated above by the sender is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify John P. Halvonik by telephone or fax immediately at the above number. Any facsimile receive in error should be immediately returned to the sender by mail, or if authorized by the sender, destroyed.

May 5, 2005
6:02 pm

Date: Time:
No. of pages, exclusive of cover: Time:

No. of pages, exclusive of cover: Time:

To: Christine TIERNEY
Fax No: 703 872-9306
At: special feberams
From: John Halvonik
Re: PAT pap (icetion Senal rumber 09/735193

If difficulty is experienced during this transmission of this telecopy, or if you do not receive all of the above pages, please call 301 990 9393.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Ser. No. 09/735193

Inventor: Frank Abdullovski

Examiner S Weinstein

Art unit 1761

Filing date: 12/11/00

Date: 5/5/2005

By fax 703 872 9306

Attention: Christine TIERNEY

SPECIAL PROGRAMS

Dear Ms. Tierney,

Hello I spoke w/ Mr. Weinstein this afternoon about the status of this case. He said that if you want to "put a letter into the file" I should fax this number.

I do not think I want to put another letter into this file, I was calling Mr. Weinstein in order to ascertain the status of the case.

From what I gather from Mr. Weinstein he had looked at a computer screen that gives him the status of patent applications. He noted two entries: "6-15-2004 Applicant's Failure to respond. No reply has been received." And: "8-24-2004. Miscellaneous Incoming Letter. August 31 and September 1."

I would like to know the status of this case. Can you call me as soon as possible?

Sincerely,

John P. Halvonik

15200 Shady Grove Rd.

Ste. 202

Rockville, MD. 20840

301 990 9393



United States Patent and Trademark Office

ATTI-ICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/735,193	12/11/2000	Frank Abdullovski	1736			
	90 12/08/2003		BXAN	INSK		
John P. Halver Sw. 301	nik		Wednetein, Steven (
806 W. Diamon	806 W. Diamond Ave		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Gaithershurg, N	4D 20878		1761			

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*U.S. GPO: 2000-472-609/43204

	Application/No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	0 1/735/31 ABOULLAVSK 1						
- was a state of the state of t	S. WE IN STEIN Group Art Unit						
 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of 	n the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-						
Period for Raphy	\neg						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO I OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE						
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, e - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for make will be within.	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after S(X (8) MONTHS y within the statutory minimum of thirty (S0) days will be considered timely. xpire SDX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, s, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 153), g date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent						
Status							
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
☐ This action is FINAL.	•						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parts Quayle, 1935 C.	r formal matters, procecution as to the marits is closed in .D. 1 1: 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
(D) Claim(s)	Is/are pending in the application.						
Of the above claim(s)	io/own w/Mahana Anna						
Claim(s)	S/Sm plowed						
(a)	le/one reincted						
Claim(s)	ie/am objected to						
☐ Claim(s)							
Application Papers	requirement						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	_ is □ approved □ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner						
□ The apecification is objected to by the Examiner.	-						
☐ The eath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119 tal-/dt.						
☐ Alf ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	/ed in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have							
in this national stage application from the International But "Certified copies not received:	1984 (PCT Rule 17.2(a))						
Attachment(s)							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).							
☐ Motice of Reference(x) Cited, PTD-892	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
☐ Notice of Draftsparson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Other						
Office Action Summary							
Patent and Trademark Office							
►\$20 (Rev. 11/00)	Part of Paper No. 13						

Application/Control Number: 09/735,193

Art Unit: 1761

Page 2

Upon further review of this application, and in view of the fact that new art has come to the examiner's attention and new information concerning one or more of the current references, the Final Rejection, mailed 11/5/01, paper no. 6, is hereby withdrawn and a new non-final rejection is set forth below. The Office regrets any inconvenience that may arise by this decision.

The previous rejection relied on a number of references at least some of which were commercial database (i.e. Dialog) abstracts. The Board of Appeals no longer accepts the Dialog abstracts if the date of the Abstract itself is later than applicants filing date, even if the original publication abstracted is earlier than the filing date. The examiner made a conscientious effort to obtain the original articles for all of the abstracts. However, the original publications for References U, W, X and V'', which were all applied against claim 3, could not be obtained and thus are no longer applied. A full copy of Reference U' (Product Alert, Marketing Intelligence service 6/9/97) and Snack World (4/97)-Ref V') have been obtained. The examiner had read these references (herein after referred to as Product Alert, 6/97 and Snack World, 4/97 to teach that the chips and cup were packaged together in the same bag. That is, one big held the loose chips and a cup of salsa. The examiner has discovered that this interpretation of the language of the references was not accurate. The examiner obtained information from a former employee of the company that the Harrys Premium Snacks package was, in fact, an outer bag containing both a separate inner bag of chips and a separate inner cup of salsa, separated from the inner bag.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- viting

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter partnins. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/735,193

Art Unit: 1761

My July

Page 3

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Product Alert (6/9/97) in view of Vonderhorst et al (6,035,610) as further evidenced by the USDA application(and accompanying wrapper) or vice versa, i.e., Vonderhorst et al and the USDA application in view of Product Alert, both further in view of applicant's admission of the prior art, and further in view of Snack World (10/88) and Baking and Snack (2/94).

In regard to claim 3, it is noted that claim 3 is readable on an outer package containing chips, with or without an enclosing smaller package containing the chips, and a cup of dip. Reading the claim to be open to an inner package (since the claim is a comprising claim and it is silent as to the situation of the chips in the large package), then Product Alert teaches a package comprising a large package containing a plurality of chips and a cup that is smaller than the large package since it is in the large package and wherein the cup contains a dip. Vonderhurst et al as further evidenced by the USDA application can be relied on as further evidence of chips in a large package that further contains a dip, (col. 1, paragraph 5) and line 4, respectively,3 (albeit, the dip is in a pouch instead of a cup). Claim 3 differs from Product Alert in specifically reciting that the cup is a "soufflé cup" having an overlay (presumably a cover) that is in airtight connection with the cup. As evidences by applicant's admission of the prior art the soufflé cup is notoriously old in the art (page 1, and page 4, Col. 1). Thus, applicant admits that the recited condiment cup is conventional. To modify Product Alert and substitute one conventional cup for another conventional cup for its art recognized and applicant's intended function would therefore have been unequivocally obvious. Similarly, reading claim 3 such that the chips and condiment are together in a single package, Vonderhurst et al. in their admission of the prior art and the USDA application, can be relied on to teach placing chips and a packaged dip in the same outer

 \mathcal{V}_{λ}

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/735,193

by Snack World (10/88) and Baking and Snack (2/94).

Art Unit: 1761

package. Claim 3 differs from Vonderhurst et al and the USDA application in the particular package for the dip. That is, claim 3 recites a conventional soufflé condiment cup, admitted to be conventional by applicant's admission of the prior art, whereas Vanderhurst et al and the USDA application disclose a pouch. As noted above, applicant's admission of the prior art teaches condiment soufflé cups are conventional and Product Alert teaches placing a dip to be used with chips in a cup. To modify, the admission of prior art in Vanderhurst et al as further evidenced by the USDA application and substitute one conventional condiment package for another conventional condiment package for its art recognized and applicant's intended function is seen to have been obvious. Snack World is relied on as further evidence of a bag containing both chips and a dip. Finally, the art taken as a whole teaches various chips including tortilla chips and dips/condiments. The particular conventional dip one chooses to have provided is seen to have been an obvious matter of choice. Cheese and bean dips are both conventional as evidenced

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Weinstein whose telephone number is 703-308-0650. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/735,193

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

S. Weinstein/lap December 1, 2003

STEVE WEIGHTON
PYRAMITY ISLAMMENT 1761
12/8/03

U.S. Patent and Transment Office

PTO-892 (Rev. 9-95)

Ø 008/014

Part of Paper No.

				Application No. Applicant(s)							
Notice of References Cited				109/735193 ABOULL			.ovsk1				
				Examiner		Group Art Unit		,			
				S. WEINST	EIM	1761	Pag	30 of			
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS											
*	DOCUMENT NO.	CATE		NAME	•		CLASS	SUBCLASS			
	6035610	3/2000	Vo	HOERHOST	ET AL						
E											
- 0		<u> </u>						J			
C		<u> </u>									
E	·										
F	·			***************************************			- <u></u>				
G		ļ. <u></u>					~~~~······				
Н				·· / • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.,					
- 11								ļ.,,			
				-							
IK											
L'''		·									
<u>+</u>			OREIGN PA	TENT DOCUMENTS				ger samesmen.			
1	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUN	ITHY	N/#/te:		CLASS	SUBCLASS			
_ N											
0							. .				
P	<u> </u>					į į					
- 0	<u></u>	1	• • • • •								
- R			, -	<u> </u>							
5							. 				
Ц.	[<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
-	T			NT DOCUMENTS							
~ 		CUMENT (including	Author, This	Source, and Perlinent Pa	egos)	• •		DATE			
U	5 NACK WORLD, G. 32,33						,	10/88			
v	BAKITE MIS		2/14								
w	W USDA APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF LABELS, MARKING OR DEVICE 3/13/85 + ACCOMPRISTING WROPPER "SHAK KING PORK RINDS"										
×											
الله	ot and Transment Dive	* A copy of this refer (Sea Manual of Pa	rence is not i atent Exemir	being funished with this O king Procedure. Section 7	ffice action. 07.05(a).}						